## Formation of nickel-thiolate aggregates via reaction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>

Qiang Wang, Andrew C. Marr, Alexander J. Blake, Claire Wilson and Martin Schröder\*

School of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK NG7 2RD. E-mail: M.Schroder@nottingham.ac.uk; Fax: + 44(0)115 9513563; Tel: + 44(0)115 9513490

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 8th August 2003, Accepted 18th September 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 20th October 2003

Reaction of the mononuclear nickel-thiolate complex  $[Ni(L^1)(dppe)]$  with  $CH_2Cl_2$  affords the novel pentanuclear complex  $[Ni_5Cl_2(L^1)_4(dppe)_2]$ , while  $[Ni(L^1)(dcpe)]$  reacts with  $CH_2Cl_2$  to give the binuclear species  $[Ni_2Cl_2(L^2)(dcpe)_2]$  in which two  $L^1$  units are linked by a methylene group derived from  $CH_2Cl_2$ .

The study of nickel thiolates is frequently complicated by their air sensitivity and a tendency to form polymetallic complexes with bridging  $\mu$ -SR groups.<sup>1</sup> Steric factors and/or thiolates containing electron-withdrawing groups have been employed to avoid and control such unwanted reactivity,<sup>2</sup> while another successful strategy for obtaining discrete complexes involves the use of chelating dithiolate ligands with chelating phosphines such as dppe or dcpe [dppe = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, dcpe = 1,2-bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane].<sup>3</sup> Ligand-based reactivity of transition metal thiolates via alkylation, metalation, oxygenation, and adduct formation is well documented,<sup>4</sup> while methylation of the nickel-bound thiolates by electrophiles such as MeI has been observed by Darensbourg et al.5 Alkylation of ruthenium-bound thiolates has been reported by Sellmann et al.<sup>6</sup> and Grapperhaus et al.,<sup>4</sup> and Jones and co-workers7 have recently reported the alkylation of nickelbound sulfides by 1,2-dichloroethane. We report herein the first example of reaction of nickel thiolate complexes with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and examine the influence of the electronic environment of the nickel-thiolate moiety upon this reactivity.

As part of our wider project on the modelling of the active site of [NiFe] hydrogenase,<sup>8</sup> we have synthesized a series of nickel thiolate-phosphine complexes with  $NiS_2P_2$  co-ordination spheres, and have investigated the reactivity of these complexes as a function of variation of phosphine and/or thiolate ligands. When  $[NiCl_2(PP)]$  (PP = dppe, dcpe) is reacted with 2,4,6-triisopropylthiophenol (HL3) or 2-mercaptomethyl-benzenethiol (H<sub>2</sub>L<sup>4</sup>) in the presence of NaOMe in MeOH, via a modification of the procedure reported by Bowmaker et al.,9 the corresponding mononuclear nickel thiolate complexes  $[Ni(L^3)_2(PP)]$  and [Ni(L<sup>4</sup>)(PP)] are obtained in good yields and have been fully characterised.<sup>†</sup> These diamagnetic complexes are stable in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution and their formulations have been confirmed by X-ray crystallographic studies of single crystals grown from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. Reaction of 4,5-dimethyl-1,2-benzenedimethan thiol  $(H_2L^1)$  with  $[NiCl_2(dppe)]$  via a procedure similar to that described above,9 affords the mononuclear complex  $[Ni(L^1)(dppe)]$  (Scheme 1) which is stable in the solid state under N2 or Ar. The formulation of the product as [Ni(L1)(dppe)] was confirmed by FAB mass spectrometry, NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.<sup>†</sup> The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of [Ni(L1)(dppe)] in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution shows a single resonance at 55.30 ppm confirming that the two P centres in the complex are, as expected, in identical environments about a *cis* square-planar Ni( $\Pi$ ) centre. However, unlike [Ni(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(PP)] and  $[Ni(L^4)(PP)]$ ,  $[Ni(L^1)(dppe)]$  is reactive in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution,

 $\dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: syntheses and characterisation of [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dppe)], [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dcpe)], [Ni(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(PP)] and [Ni(L<sup>4</sup>)(PP)], and crystallographic data for 1·4CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and 2·4CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b309523a/

and the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of the crystalline product obtained by diffusion of Et2O vapour into a solution of  $[Ni(L^1)(dppe)]$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> over a period of 3 days showed in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution a single resonance at 33.78 ppm, confirming the equivalence of the terminal phosphine ligands. A single crystal X-ray structural determination confirmed<sup>‡</sup> the formation of a highly unusual pentanuclear nickel(II) aggregate,  $[Ni_5Cl_2(L^1)_4(dppe)_2]$  **1** (Fig. 1, upper) [FAB MS: m/z 1910 (M-Cl)<sup>+</sup>, 1875 (M–2Cl)<sup>+</sup>]. The complex **1** comprises three central square-planar NiS<sub>4</sub> units and two terminal square-planar P<sub>2</sub>NiS<sub>2</sub> units arranged in a zig-zag pattern (Fig. 1, lower). Ni(1) occupies a crystallographic inversion centre and the Ni<sub>5</sub> complex therefore has imposed  $C_i$  symmetry. Each terminal Ni(II) centre is further bound by a chloride ion derived from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and occupies the apical position of a square pyramid at the Ni(II) centres. The angle between the least-squares mean planes through P(1)-P(2)-Ni(3)-S(1)-S(2) and S(1)-S(2)-Ni(2)–S(3)–S(4) is  $65.32(2)^{\circ}$ , while that between the S(1)– S(2)–Ni(2)–S(3)–S(4) and S(3)–S(4)–Ni(1)–S(3A)–S(4A) planes is 70.30(3)°. This brings the metals into fairly close proximity with  $Ni(1) \cdots Ni(2) = 2.7738(5)$  Å,  $Ni(2) \cdots Ni(3) =$ 2.8552(6) Å. These Ni…Ni distances are comparable to the mean value of 2.8345(1)Å in the thiolate-bridged pentanickel(II) complex  $[NEt_4]_2[Ni_5(edt)_4(dmit)_2]$  (edt = ethane-1,2-dithiolate; dmit = 2-thione-1,3-dithiole-4,5-dithiolate) re-Sheng et al.<sup>10</sup> However, whereas the ported by  $Ni(1)\cdots Ni(2)\cdots Ni(3)$  angle of  $176.30(2)^{\circ}$  in 1 is close to linearity, the corresponding angle in  $[NEt_4]_2[Ni_5(edt)_4(dmit)_2]$ is  $102.83^{\circ}$ .<sup>10</sup> Thus, **1** represents, to the best of our knowledge, the first example of a linear pentanuclear nickel cluster bridged by thiolates.

Reaction of H<sub>2</sub>L<sup>1</sup> with [NiCl<sub>2</sub>(dcpe)] affords [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dcpe)] (Scheme 1) as confirmed by FAB mass spectrometry, NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.<sup>†</sup> The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dcpe)] in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution shows a single resonance at 73.56 ppm confirming a *cis* square-planar configuration at Ni(II). [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dcpe)], however, reacts with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/*n*-hexane stored at  $-10^{\circ}$ C for 7 days to afford crystals of the unexpected binuclear complex [Ni<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>2</sup>)(dcpe)] **2** (L<sup>2</sup> = [bis-(4,5-dimethyl-1,2-benzenedimethanethiolato)methane]) (Fig. 2). The structure of **2** reveals that the *cis* thiolates in [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dcpe)] have been alkylated by CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to yield the corresponding methylene-bridged dithoether/thiolate ligand [L<sup>2</sup>]<sup>2-</sup> bound to Ni(II). C(61) lies on a crystallographic two-fold axis, and the dinickel complex has imposed *C*<sub>2</sub> symmetry. Thus, a thiolate centre in each of two [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dcpe)] moieties is alkylated by reaction with one equivalent of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with the



Scheme 1

10.1039/b309523a

ЫÖ





Fig. 1 (upper) Molecular structure of 1; (lower) diagram showing the zigzag structural pattern of 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ni(1)– S(3) 2.1813(9), Ni(1)–S(4) 2.1922(9), Ni(2)–S(1) 2.1874(10), Ni(2)–S(2) 2.2031(10), Ni(2)–S(3) 2.1840(10), Ni(2)–S(4) 2.2000(10), Ni(3)–P(1) 2.1616(10), Ni(3)–P(2) 2.1687(10), Ni(3)–S(1) 2.2343(10), Ni(3)–S(2) 2.2300(10), Ni(3)–Cl(1) 2.6217(10), Ni(1)···Ni(2) 2.7738(5), Ni(2)···Ni(3) 2.8552(6), S(3)–Ni(1)–S(4) 75.95(3), S(1)–Ni(2)–S(3) 173.26(4), S(3)– Ni(2)–S(4) 75.73(4), S(1)–Ni(2)–S(4) 98.38(4), S(3)–Ni(2)–S(2) 96.55(4), S(1)–Ni(2)–S(2) 88.98(4), S(2)–Ni(2)–S(4) 170.62(4), Ni(1)–Ni(2)–Ni(3) 176.30(2), P(1)–Ni(3)–P(2) 84.16(4), P(1)–Ni(3)–S(2) 165.17(4), P(2)– Ni(3)–S(2) 91.44(4), P(1)–Ni(3)–S(1) 93.83(4), P(2)–Ni(3)–S(1), 166.42(4), S(1)–Ni(3)–S(2) 87.13(4), P(1)–Ni(3)–Cl(1) 90.17(4), P(2)– Ni(3)–Cl(1) 94.27(4), S(2)–Ni(3)–Cl(1) 104.30(4), S(1)–Ni(3)–Cl(1) 99.17(4).



two displaced chloride ions binding each to a Lewis acid Ni centre<sup>11</sup> to yield 2.

It should be noted that when a solution of  $[Ni(L^1)(dcpe)]$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  is stirred at room temperature for 7 days under nitrogen,  $[NiCl_2(dcpe)]$  is obtained. This confirms that the Ni–S bonds are labile in solution<sup>12</sup> leading to potential reaction with  $CH_2Cl_2$  and abstraction of chloride by  $Ni(\pi)$ . It is interesting to note that Darensbourg *et al.*<sup>13</sup> have observed that the reaction of square-planar Ni( $\pi$ )-dithiolate  $[NiS_2N_2]$  complexes with alkyl halides typically leads to metal-bound dithioether complexes, either as a square planar dication or a halide bound octahedral complex

without any breaking of Ni–S bonds. Thus, introduction of a single chelate in L<sup>1</sup>, rather than a polychelate thiolate as in the the Darensbourg system,<sup>13</sup> reduces the stability of the [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)] chelate. This increased lability of the NiS<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> complex in our case opens up new pathways for manipulating reactivity at S-centres involving the breaking of labile Ni–S bonds. In [Ni(L<sup>1</sup>)(dppe)], the lability of dppe allows the formation of a pentanuclear nickel species. However, when the electron-donating power of the phosphine is increased by changing from dppe to dcpe, the Ni–P bond cleavage is inhibited and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> is activated leading to the formation of two [NiCISP<sub>2</sub>] centres. Intriguingly, the splitting of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> by concerted attack of a Lewis acidic metal centre and basic sulfur reveals a direct analogy with one of the proposed mechanisms for heterolytic cleavage of dihydrogen by [NiFe] hydrogenase.<sup>8,14</sup>

We thank the BBSRC (UK) and the University of Nottingham for funding, and the CVCP (ORS Awards Scheme) for a studentship to Q.W. We also thank the EPSRC for the provision of a diffractometer and EPSRC National Service for mass spectrometry at the University of Wales, Swansea for mass spectra.

## Notes and references

‡ Crystallographic data for **1**· 4CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: C<sub>92</sub>H<sub>96</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>8</sub>·4CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, *M* = 2286.20, triclinic, *a* = 11.0330(7), *b* = 13.1947(8), *c* = 19.0517(12) Å, *α* = 89.446(2), *β* = 82.054(2), *γ* = 66.885(2)°, *U* = 2523.3(5) Å<sup>3</sup>, *T* = 150(2) K, space group  $P\bar{1}, Z = 1, \mu$  (Mo–Kα) = 1.454 mm<sup>-1</sup>, 15902 data collected, 11126 unique ( $R_{int} = 0.019$ ). Final *R*1 [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] = 0.0463, *wR*<sub>2</sub> [all data] = 0.145.

Crystallographic data for **2**·4CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:  $C_{73}H_{122}Cl_2Ni_2P_4S_4$ ·4CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, M = 1779.85, monoclinic, a = 21.971(3), b = 17.011(2), c = 23.878(3) Å,  $\beta = 92.151(2)^\circ$ , U = 8918(4) Å<sup>3</sup>, T = 150(2) K, space group C2/c, Z = 4,  $\mu$  (Mo–K $\alpha$ ) = 0.926 mm<sup>-1</sup>, 22072 data collected, 10528 unique ( $R_{int} = 0.030$ ). Final R1 [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] = 0.0416,  $wR_2$  [all data] = 0.113.

CCDC reference numbers 217239–217240. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/cc/b3/b309523a/ for crystallographic data in .cif format.

- 1 C. A. Grapperhaus and M. Y. Darensbourg, Acc. Chem. Res., 1998, 31, 451.
- 2 (a) M. A. Halcrow and G. Christou, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2421 and references therein (b) S. C. Shoner, M. M. Olmstead and J. A. Kovacs, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 7 and references therein.
- 3 M. Capdevila, P. González-Duarte, C. Foces-Foces, F. H. Cano and M. Martinez-Ripoll, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1990, 143.
- 4 C. A. Grapperhaus, S. Poturovic and M. S. Mashuta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 4309.
- 5 P. J. Farmer, J. H. Reibenspies, P. A. Lindahl and M. Y. Darensbourg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 4665.
- 6 D. Sellmann, M. Waeber, H. Binder and R. Z. Boese, *Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci.*, 1986, **41b**, 1541.
- 7 S. S. Oster, R. J. Lachicotte and W. D. Jones, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2002, **330**, 118.
- 8 (a) A. Volbeda, M. H. Charon, C. Piras, E. C. Hatchikian, M. Frey and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *Nature*, 1995, **373**, 580; (b) A. Volbeda, E. Garcia, C. Piras, A. L. deLacey, V. M. Fernandez, E. C. Hatchikian, M. Frey and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 12989.
- 9 G. A. Bowmaker, P. D. W. Boyd and G. K. Campbell, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, **21**, 2403.
- 10 T. Sheng, X. Wu, W. Zhang, Q. Wang, X. Gao and P. Lin, *Chem. Comm.*, 1998, 263.
- 11 (a) M. Zhou, C-F. Lam, K. F. Mok, P-H. Leung and T. S. Andy Hor, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 476, C32; (b) C. Tejel, M. A. Ciriano, L. A. Oro, A. Tiripicchio and F. Ugozzoli, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 1676.
- 12 B. S. Snyder, C. P. Rao and R. H. Holm, Aust. J. Chem., 1986, 39, 963.
- 13 J. A. Bellefeuille, C. A. Grapperhaus, A. Derecskei-Kovacs, J. H. Reibenspies and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **300**, 73.
- 14 (a) A. L. DeLacey, E. C. Hatchikian, A. Volbeda, M. Frey, J. C. Fontecilla-Camps and V. M. Fernandez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 7181; (b) D. Sellmann, G. H. Rackelmann and F. W. Heinemann, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 2071; (c) D. Sellmann, F. Geipel and M. Moll, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 561.